ICSA Budget Vote August 29, 2007

Present: Debbie Field, Delana Harper, Matt McCarter, Paul Carroll, Dick Schultz, Kathleen Allyn, Bethany Gadzinski, Richard Humiston, Sara Stover, Sharon Harrigfeld, Heather Wheeler, Tracy Sessions, Shane Evans, Ike Kimball, Scott Johnson, Sharon Burke, Richard Armstrong, Brent Reinke, Larry Callicutt, Patti Tobias, Jerry Russell, Amy Castro, Bev Ashton, Quane Kenyon, Valerie Hoyjberg, Rep. Sharon Block

Items Discussed:

SA Treatment Storyboard – picture of what we are doing and where we are going

Budget Requests:

- 1. DHW FY08 Supplemental for GAIN Training.
 - a. Motion on \$90,000 supplemental by Sharon Harrigfeld for this to remain in the ODP Budget and to move forward. Second by Dick Schultz. Discussion: Patti Tobias will this money cover training for county misdemeanor parole officers or county parole officers or other personnel that need to be trained on GAIN assessment tool or if not, how are those going to be covered? Bethany Gadzinski this is QA tape review only for 150 private providers that are DHW approved substance abuse providers. Patti Tobias support the supplemental but is concerned about not covering any county and other state officials. Dick Schultz could expand this to include them. Debbie Field need to know who this is, that has been asked before, but ODP has not received the names. Patti Tobias act on the motion today to request this increase, with the understanding that it might be modified on receipt of additional information. Dick Schultz this is dedicated funds, so if expansion needed, look at another funding source. Brent Reinke may need to be a separate DU. Motion carries.
 - b. ATR Vouchers and 12th Month of MSC Without objection, this is removed from the ODP budget and remains in the DHW budget.

2. DOC – FY09 Requests

a. SB1149 & GAIN PSI's – Enhancement to PSI unit. To enable DOC to meet mandate set forth by SB1149, allow better preparation for courts for GAIN to be completed before sentencing. Dedicated funds. Motion by Dick Schultz to approve DU, Second by Brent Reinke. No discussion, motion carries. Additional discussion: Sharon Harrigfeld – is this appropriate for ODP budget? Brent Reinke – if this doesn't stay on ODP budget, then it most likely will not get funded. Amy Castro – whether or not the fee increase passes, does DOC still need the staff for this? And is this directly related to substance abuse? Brent Reinke – would need to get DFM involved to answer. Patti Tobias – are PO and PSI a mix of funds? Shane Evans – yes. Patti Tobias – how much is general fund and how much is dedicated? Scott Johnson – 75% general fund and 25% dedicated funding. Sharon Harrigfeld – can the reference to funding source be taken

out? Amy Castro – you can possibly leave it in, but modify the write-up to stipulate that pending legislation will determine the funding source. Valerie Hoyjberg – who's budget is this going to? Debbie Field – shared. Shane Evans – ODP needs to champion this, but where will the funds live if it is funded? Amy Castro – the way the legislation was modeled is after the millennium fund, all requests through one budget, but when funded, it will be in individual agencies budgets. Motion to keep in ODP budget by Brent Reinke. Second by Valerie Hoyjberg. Discussion: Brent Reinke – without these positions, DOC hands are tied for the GAIN implementation. Debbie Field – you still have to do an assessment, GAIN is the assessment, and 1149 calls for an assessment. Brent Reinke – if no positions, something will have to go away. Amy Castro – if included in ODP budget, may be more prevalent as if it would be in DOC budget. Brent Reinke – we are all going to be there during the budget presentation, and we need to stress the importance of these positions and these funds. Debbie Field – were you ever involved in assessments before GAIN? Shane Evans – LSI done, but later in the process, 1149 changes the assessment need to the front-end. Debbie Field – can the same employees who did the assessments later in the process be moved to earlier in the process? Shane Evans – those people are already bulging at the seams, intake unit is critical to get PSI's done. Motion carries.

- b. Optical Scanning Program Enable the department through each regional office to have a machine at each site to scan offenders for the presence of alcohol and drugs. Will lower expense on UA and increase the ability to push out deterrent for offender populations. Single lease, with unlimited use at each site, will lease 7 machines. Patti Tobias – can 'counties' be added to the description? Motion by Patti Tobias to keep in ODP budget. Second by Brent Reinke. Discussion: Patti Tobias – 7 machines is a good place to start, unlimited use enables all state agencies, judiciary and counties to work together, also a benefit for substance abuse prevention and detection. Sharon Harrigfeld – can we change the language that this can be a pilot program so that another request can be made next year to expand. Debbie Field – be careful with the term 'pilot', that means it will be going away. Shane Evans – we can look at proceeding with an RFP or purchasing agreement, to add counties and others to get on the contract; this will allow for multiple funding, dedicated funding for this request is from COS fund. Motion carries.
- c. Offender Treatment expansion for contract services, includes both substance abuse, mental health and sex offender. People waiting to receive other services through DHW and those that cannot afford to pay themselves. Sharon Harrigfeld this one belongs in DOC budget, not focused on substance abuse. Patti Tobias agree with Sharon, but is it more productive to DOC to include the substance abuse portion, or would they rather see substance abuse treatment dollars in their budget. Shane Evans better to have it integrated with other services that are part of this

- request. Motion on the table to take out of ODP budget and leave in DOC budget. Carried without objection.
- d. Transitional Housing parolees reentering or in-crises probationers for stable housing. Critical component to their success and that DOC facilitates this for them. Without objection, this will remain in the DOC budget.

3. ODP – FY2009 Requests

a. GAIN Contract – contract costs for the next fiscal year, also includes new office equipment. Motion by Patti Tobias to include the annual costs for the GAIN contract in the ODP budget with understanding that amount may change. Second by Brent Reinke. Motion carries

4. DJC – FY2009 Requests

a. Co-Occurring Unit – 24 bed unit. Debbie Field – does this need to remain in the ODP budget, or should it be in the DJC budget? Sharon Harrigfeld – recommends that it not be in ODP budget, because the beds are co-occurring. Bethany Gadzinski – do they have to have co-occurring disorder, or can they have only one disorder? Sharon Harrigfeld – at this point they have to have co-occurring disorders. Without objection, this will remain in DJC budget.

5. DHW – FY2009 Requests

a. Substance Abuse Services without ATR Grant – services will be the same whether the ATR Grant is received or not. Amy Castro – can the 2 different DU's be combined into one? Dick Schultz – no, the two requests are completely different; the amounts are different, the only parts that are the same are the services covered. Patti Tobias – the breakdown of needs was put together before the FY08 allocation of funds was put together, are these amounts and proportions still needed in this way? Is adolescent area the same? Should priority populations change? Dick Schultz – if the priority populations need to be changed, what are they? Bethany Gadzinski – in the area of adolescents, if we build it they will come, 4 million could then be redirected to intervention. Sharon Harrigfeld – can the language be changed to 'from state institutions or county detention centers'? Valerie Hoyjberg – how does the other DU that remains in DOC budget for transitional housing work with this one? Shane Evans – the other DU covers short-term housing and covers all areas of care needed, not just substance abuse. Patti Tobias recommends the support of a DU that includes the language and the description of the adolescent budget and prison re-entry and rider re-entry for adults that will also later be combined with the next identified substance abuse need. Don't call this one w/o ATR but reference substance abuse needs. Dick Schultz – without ATR is presenting who we think our priority is, if that is met, the next priority is the next DU, so forth. Not in favor of lumping together. Amy Castro – if you present these as 2 different DU's, then they will compete with each other. Motion by Patti Tobias to have ODP budget include a substance abuse services budget for 6.5 as outlined, if that passes, when we talk about treatment, will try to urge a modification of DU and ask that

- it somehow be added into the other one, or when we rank them, rank it differently. Second by Sharon Harrigfeld. Discussion: Dick Schultz if we are adding more people, don't the numbers have to change? Patti Tobias contemplated treating the need, not necessarily more people. Dick Schultz were the changes made relative to OP and adding an additional group (rider re-entry) that is more than the 2600 identified already, to do that we would have to increase the budget. Patti Tobias adding the rider re-entry as Shane Evans explained, will give more flexibility, not add a great many more people. Shane Evans 2600 will encompass both populations adequately. Sharon Burke what about adolescent population? Sharon Harrigfeld no need since the budget for adolescents has already been doubled. Motion carries.
- b. DHW with ATR Grant: Patti Tobias if we get this, do you need to change this to a supplemental for FY08, or is it an FY09 request? Dick Schultz – the 1.7 is ongoing, not one-time, don't want to expand the treatment. Amy Castro – what is the benefit to adding 1.7 each year vs. adding at the end of the grant? Patti Tobias – the 1.7 proposing to be spent on one-time grants, that could be spent on residential treatment facility, why couldn't that 1.7 be under the responsibility of ICSA to devote to creating beds in a particular area? Debating the one-time vs. the allocation and budgeting by ICSA to look at this in a comprehensive structure. Sara Stover – DHW was asked to come up with an exit strategy for this time around with the ATR grant. Amy Castro – why do we put the money in now, instead of waiting for 3 years? Sharon Harrigfeld – we don't have enough beds right now, so if we use this \$ to help PP build beds, by the end of the grant, we don't need the money to build the beds, but will then be used to pay for the treatment for the people in those beds. Patti Tobias – what else could the money be used for besides bricks and mortar? Dick Schultz – equipment, training, etc. Sharon Harrigfeld – as we raise the bar for PP, this provides them the opportunity to get the training due to us requiring best practices, GAIN, etc. They may have the beds, but not able to provide treatment due to best practices. Dick Schultz – if we get the ATR grant we can start spending in October, if we put this in as a supplemental, we may not get that until the end of March; FY09 request and non-cog the money. Sara – cannot non-cog an FTP, would have to get a letter from the Governor. Motion by Dick Schultz to support DU with ATR Grant, but make it FY08 supplemental in ODP budget, second by Sharon Harrigfeld. Motion carries.
- c. County Jail Re-Entry Looks at who is not getting into treatment and is on the waiting list from BPA. Need some language from the courts on how to change this to take care of Patti's suggestion (tie to with Drug Court or misdemeanor probation.) Patti Tobias expand the treatment coupled with judicial oversight through DUI drug courts and/or misdemeanor probation supervision, could expand by up to 275 (= @ 1.1 million of 3.3 on request.) Same offenders, depends whether you pick them up before drug court or after. Wants to include misdemeanor drug courts and

misdemeanor probation along with those leaving county jail. Need judicial oversight. Motion by Patti Tobias to recommended language change, 3.3 to provide treatment for some # of drug court offenders and some # of high-risk misdemeanor probation folks. Fill in the numbers later if we change the concept on who this benefits. Second by Valerie Hoyjberg. Discussion: Shane Evans – may want to look at this as wrap-around services. Funds can assist the counties and PO with contract wrap-around services, UA, residential, etc. those things critical to their success. The number of people served will go down. Motion passes.

- d. Treatment for Non-Priority Persons mainly go towards folks that are not criminal justice involved. They would be adult women w/o children, white male not involved in criminal justice. Populations we are not currently serving. Patti Tobias need to articulate that PWWC could be part of this population and specify that custodial parents, not just women with children, are a population. Amy Castro what about parents that have children in DJC getting help, but they need help and don't have access to treatment? Motion by Sharon Harrigfeld to support this DU in the ODP budget. Second by Dick Schultz. Motion carries.
- e. Intervention Services making sure this is part of the presentation. Patti Tobias are we going to develop this as a DU? Debbie Field need to cover with ICSA because we don't have any numbers for this yet.

Patti Tobias will submit DU for courts: drug courts.

Debbie Field – Rank what we have approved. Will send out the new list with only the ones still in ODP budget.

Email Debbie Field what your ranking is.

Patti Tobias – need to talk about putting forecasting and strategic planning into process. Need Du to ask for OE budget to contract with someone specialized to help? Debbie Field – will be setting up a forecasting sub-committee in the next 10 days, so we will see what the recommendation is for putting forward a DU. Sharon Harrigfeld – needs to be a specific DU from ODP so that it is a strategic part of our office and looking to the future.

^{**} New list attached to help with ranking the priorities **